

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,694	12/30/2003	Barry Appelman	06975-398001 / Communicat	2854
26171 FISH & RICH		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			OSMAN, RAMY M	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			03/05/2008	· PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$m \sim$
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/747,694	APPELMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RAMY M. OSMAN	2157
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 D</u>	ecember 2003.	
•	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims	·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-63 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p	., (2, 3, (.).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 		ed in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		red
oce the attached detailed Office action for a list		Cu .
Attachment(s)	A) [] Internion: 0:	O. (DTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application
Paner No(s)/Mail Date	6) I Other:	

U.S Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is responsive to application filed on December 30, 2003. Claims 1-63 are pending examination.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-24,36-63, drawn to demand based messaging, classified in class 709, subclass 206.
 - II. Claims 25-35, drawn to network resource access controlling, classified in class 709, subclass 229.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).
- 4. In the instant case, the combination *I* as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination *II* as claimed because the steps involved in *I* relate to transmitting digital communication messages with audio identifiers and is not dependent upon the steps involved *II*. The subcombination has separate utility since *II* relates to enabling a receiver to control an audio identifier network resource.

Art Unit: 2157

Also, the combination *II* as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination *I* as claimed because the steps involved in *II* relate to enabling a receiver to control an audio identifier network resource and is not dependent upon the steps involved *I*. The subcombination has separate utility since *I* relates to transmitting digital communication messages with audio identifiers.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have attained recognition in the art as a separate subject of inventive effort as demonstrated by their different classifications and thus requiring a separate field of search (see MPEP § 808.02) for each distinct invention, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2157

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THIRTY DAYS FROM THE MAILING OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO REPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 USC § 133). EXTENSION OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER PROVISION OF 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M. Osman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RMO

February 29, 2008/